Audit Opinion Plan (including Use of Resources)

Bracknell Forest Council

Audit 2009/10

March 2010





Contents

Introduction	3
Responsibilities	4
Fee for the audit of financial statements	5
Auditors report on the financial statements	6
Identification of specific risks	7
Testing strategy	8
Key milestones and deadlines	9
The audit team	10
Fee for Use of Resources and VFM conclusion	12
Appendix 1 – Basis for fee	14
Appendix 2 – Independence and objectivity	15
Appendix 3 – Working together	17

Status of our reports

The Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies issued by the Audit Commission explains the respective responsibilities of auditors and of the audited body. Reports prepared by appointed auditors are addressed to non-executive directors/members or officers. They are prepared for the sole use of the audited body. Auditors accept no responsibility to:

- any director/member or officer in their individual capacity; or
- any third party.

Introduction

- 1 This opinion plan sets out the audit work that we propose to undertake for the audit of financial statements for 2009/10.
- 2 This opinion plan also serves to communicate the identified audit risks and proposed procedures to the Governance and Audit Committee as required by International Auditing Standards covering auditor communications with those charged with governance.
- An outline of the work required to assess the achievement of value for money in the use of resources was set out in the fee letter of 2 April 2009. In this opinion plan I have also included any changes to my planned use of resources assessment and work that I am required to carry out to enable me to arrive at a value for money conclusion.

Responsibilities

- 4 The Audit Commission's Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and of Audited Bodies sets out the respective responsibilities of the auditor and the audited body. The Audit Commission has issued a copy of the Statement to every audited body.
- 5 The Statement summarises where the different responsibilities of auditors and of the audited body begin and end, and our audit work is undertaken in the context of these responsibilities.
- 6 We comply with the statutory requirements governing our audit work, in particular:
 - the Audit Commission Act 1998; and
 - the Code of Audit Practice.

Fee for the audit of financial statements

- 7 The indicative fee for the audit of the financial statements is £163,300 as indicated in my letter of the 2 April 2009.
- 8 In setting the fee, we have assumed that:
 - the level of risk in relation to the audit of accounts is consistent with that for 2008/09:
 - good quality working papers will be supplied to support accounting entries associated with the introduction of International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), in particular the Council's joint waste PFI; and
 - Internal Audit undertakes appropriate work on all material systems and this is available for our review by January 2010.
- Where these assumptions are not met, I will be required to undertake additional work which is likely to result in an increased audit fee. Where this is the case, we will discuss this in the first instance with the Borough Treasurer and we will issue supplements to the plan to record any revisions to the risk and the impact on the fee.
- 10 Further information on the basis for the fee is set out in Appendix 1.

Specific actions Bracknell Forest Council could take to reduce its audit fees

11 The Audit Commission requires its auditors to inform audited bodies of specific actions it could take to reduce its audit fees. As in previous years, we will work with staff to identify any specific actions that the Council could take and to provide ongoing audit support. At this stage we think the key improvement opportunity is to improve the audit trail behind fixed assets entries.

Auditors report on the financial statements

- 12 I will carry out the audit of the financial statements in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland) issued by the Auditing Practices Board (APB).
- 13 I am required to issue an audit report giving my opinion on whether the accounts give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Council as at 31 March 2010.

Identifying opinion audit risks

- 14 As part of our audit risk identification process, we need to fully understand the audited body to identify any risk of material misstatement (whether due to fraud or error) in the financial statements. We do this by:
 - identifying the business risks facing the Council including assessing your own risk management arrangements;
 - considering the financial performance of the Council;
 - assessing internal control including reviewing the control environment, the IT control environment and Internal Audit; and
 - assessing the risk of material misstatement arising from the activities and controls within the Council's information systems.

Identification of specific risks

We have considered the additional risks that are appropriate to the current opinion audit and have set these out below.

Table 1 Specific risks

Specific opinion risks identified

Risk area	Audit response
Restructure of the Accountancy section: The Accountancy section is undergoing a restructure. There will be a change of role for some staff and a new capital accountant.	We will maintain a close dialogue with officers during the closedown period.
PFI: Application of the 2009 SORP may bring the joint waste PFI assets onto the Council's balance sheet. The accounting and recordkeeping required to achieve this is not straightforward.	We will review your proposals to account for these assets at an early stage and design a risk based testing programme to satisfy ourselves on this issue.
NDR and Council Tax: changes due to the 2009 SoRP will entail the Council accounting for receipts as an agent rather than principal.	We will review how you have made these accounting changes to your Statements.

Testing strategy

- On the basis of risks identified above we will produce a testing strategy which will consist of testing key controls and/or substantive tests of transaction streams and material account balances at year-end.
- 17 Our testing can be carried out both before and after the draft financial statements have been produced (pre- and post-statement testing).
- 18 Wherever possible, we will complete some substantive testing earlier in the year before the financial statements are available for audit. We have identified the following areas where substantive testing could be carried out early.
 - Review of accounting policies.
 - Review of proposed accounting for PFI scheme.
 - Review of year-end feeder systems reconciliations.
 - Review of bank year-end reconciliation.
 - Investments.

Where other early testing is identified as being possible, this will be discussed with officers.

Wherever possible, we seek to rely on the work of Internal Audit to help meet our responsibilities. For 2009/10, we expect to be able to use the results of their work on all key financial systems.

- 19 Each year, we carry out a review of Internal Audit to consider the effectiveness of Internal Audit as part of the Council's system of internal control and to maximise the reliance we place on Internal Audit's work in discharging our own responsibilities, in order to minimise duplication of audit work.
- 20 However, every three years or following significant changes to Internal Audit we carry out a more detailed assessment of Internal Audit to confirm that they comply with the requirements of the CIPFA Code of Practice for Internal Audit and to obtain assurance from this review that Internal Audit's work is being performed to a standard that we may rely upon to inform our conclusions.
- 21 Due to the change of Internal Audit provider in 2009/10 we are carrying out part of this triennial review in this year's audit.

Key milestones and deadlines

- The Council is required to prepare the financial statements by 30 June 2010. We are required to complete our audit and issue our opinion by 30 September 2010. The key stages in the process of producing and auditing the financial statements are shown in Table 2.
- We will agree with you a schedule of working papers required to support the entries in the financial statements.
- 24 Every week, we will aim to meet with the key contact and review the status of all queries. If appropriate, we will meet at a different frequency depending upon the need and the number of issues arising.

Table 2 Proposed timetable

Task	Deadline
Control and early substantive testing	March/April 2010
Receipt of accounts	June 2010
Forwarding audit working papers to the auditor	July 2010
Start of detailed testing	5 July 2010
Present report to those charged with governance at the Governance and Audit Committee	21 September 2010
Issue opinion	By 30 September 2010

The audit team

25 The key members of the audit team for the 2009/10 audit are shown in the table below.

Table 3 **Audit team**

Name	Contact details	Responsibilities
Phil Sharman District Auditor	p-sharman@audit- commission.gov.uk 0844 798 5839	Responsible for the overall delivery of the audit including the quality of outputs, signing the opinion and conclusion, and liaison with the Chief Executive.
Catherine Morganti Audit Manager	c-morganti@audit- commission.gov.uk 0844 798 4667	Manages and coordinates the different elements of the audit work. Key point of contact for the Borough Treasurer and Chief Officer Financial Services.
Rachel Cobley Team Leader	r-cobley@audit- commission.gov.uk 0844 798 1793	Coordinates and delivers different elements of the audit work.

Independence and objectivity

- 26 I am not aware of any relationships that may affect the independence and objectivity of the District Auditor and the audit staff, which we are required by auditing and ethical standards to communicate to you.
- 27 I comply with the ethical standards issued by the APB and with the Commission's requirements in respect of independence and objectivity as summarised in Appendix 2.

Meetings

28 The audit team will maintain knowledge of your issues to inform our risk-based audit through regular liaison with key officers. Our proposals are set out in Appendix 3.

The audit team

Quality of service

- We are committed to providing you with a high quality service. If you are in any way dissatisfied, or would like to discuss how we can improve our service, please contact me in the first instance. Alternatively, you may wish to contact the Sub-region Head of Operations.
- 30 If we are unable to satisfy your concerns, you have the right to make a formal complaint to the Audit Commission. The complaints procedure is set out in the leaflet 'Something to Complain About' which is available from the Commission's website or on request.

Planned outputs

31 Reports will be discussed and agreed with the appropriate officers before being issued to the Governance and Audit Committee.

Table 4 Planned outputs

Planned output	Indicative date
Opinion audit plan	8 March 2010
Annual governance report	21 September 2010
Auditor's report giving an opinion on the financial statements	30 September 2010
Final accounts memorandum (if required)	November 2010

Fee for Use of Resources and VFM conclusion

- 32 I am required to consider how well the Council is managing and using its resources to deliver value for money and better and sustainable outcomes for local people, and give a scored use of resources judgement.
- 33 I am also required to conclude whether the Council put in place adequate corporate arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. This is known as the value for money (VFM) conclusion.
- 34 The indicative fee for this element of the audit is £73,100 as indicated in my letter of the 2 April 2009.
- 35 My initial planning identified some potentially significant risks which may impact upon my value for money conclusion and I set these out in my letter of the 2 April. For each risk, I have planned my work so as to consider the arrangements put in place by the Council to mitigate the risk, and my proposed response is summarised in Table 5. There are no additional risks that I need to draw to your attention that would increase the amount of audit work I am planning to undertake or the resulting audit fee.

36 Specific risks and my response are included in the following table.

Table 5 Specific risks

Specific VFM conclusion risks identified

Risk area	Audit response
The Council has been proactive in achieving efficiencies but continues to face budget pressures. In the short term the impact of the recession has led to reduced income from leisure services, car parking and investment income. In the longer term the Council faces potential reductions in funding with public sector expenditure constraint.	We will continue to review the Council's approach to closing its long term budget gap during the 20010/11 budget and medium term planning cycles in the light of funding reductions.
The Council's arrangements for achieving robust data quality (DQ) have been mixed in the past. In 2009/10 it has taken steps to improve its arrangements by developing a DQ strategy. It is shortly to implement a new performance management system – PARIS.	We will assess whether the strategy and PARIS has improved the consistency of data quality as part of our mandated spot checks.
The Council is a strategic partner in the proposed town centre redevelopment.	We have made no additional provision for this redevelopment in our plan but should the development progress significantly we will update our risk assessment and discuss any fee impact with the Borough Treasurer.

Appendix 1 – Basis for fee

- The Audit Commission is committed to targeting its work where it will have the greatest effect, based upon assessments of risk and performance. This means planning work to address areas of risk relevant to our audit responsibilities and reflecting this in the audit fees.
- The risk assessment process starts with the identification of the significant financial and operational risks applying to the Council with reference to:
 - our cumulative knowledge of the Council;
 - planning guidance issued by the Audit Commission;
 - the specific results of previous and ongoing audit work;
 - interviews with Council officers; and
 - liaison with Internal Audit.

Assumptions

- In setting the fee, I have assumed that:
 - the level of risk in relation to the audit of the financial statements is not significantly different from that identified for 2008/09;
 - you will inform us of significant developments impacting on the audit;
 - Internal Audit meets the appropriate professional standards;
 - Internal Audit undertakes appropriate work on all systems that provide material figures in the financial statements sufficient that we can place reliance for the purposes of our audit;
 - good quality working papers and records will be provided to support the financial statements by the agreed date;
 - requested information will be provided within agreed timescales;
 - prompt responses will be provided to draft reports; and
 - additional work will not be required to address questions or objections raised by local government electors.
- Where these assumptions are not met, I will be required to undertake additional work which is likely to result in an increased audit fee.

Appendix 2 – Independence and objectivity

- Auditors appointed by the Audit Commission are required to comply with the Commission's Code of Audit Practice and Standing Guidance for Auditors, which defines the terms of the appointment. When auditing the financial statements, auditors are also required to comply with auditing standards and ethical standards issued by the Auditing Practices Board (APB).
- 2 The main requirements of the Code of Audit Practice, Standing Guidance for Auditors and the standards are summarised below.
- International Standard on Auditing (UK and Ireland) 260 (Communication of audit matters with those charged with governance) requires that the appointed auditor:
 - discloses in writing all relationships that may bear on the auditor's objectivity and independence, the related safeguards put in place to protect against these threats and the total amount of fee that the auditor has charged the client; and
 - confirms in writing that the APB's ethical standards are complied with and that, in the auditor's professional judgement, they are independent and their objectivity is not compromised
- The standard defines 'those charged with governance' as 'those persons entrusted with the supervision, control and direction of an entity'. In your case, the appropriate addressee of communications from the auditor to those charged with governance is the Governance and Audit Committee. The auditor reserves the right, however, to communicate directly with the Council on matters which are considered to be of sufficient importance.
- The Commission's Code of Audit Practice has an overriding general requirement that appointed auditors carry out their work independently and objectively, and ensure that they do not act in any way that might give rise to, or could reasonably be perceived to give rise to, a conflict of interest. In particular, appointed auditors and their staff should avoid entering into any official, professional or personal relationships which may, or could reasonably be perceived to, cause them inappropriately or unjustifiably to limit the scope, extent or rigour of their work or impair the objectivity of their judgement.

- The Standing Guidance for Auditors includes a number of specific rules. The key rules relevant to this audit appointment are as follows.
 - Appointed auditors should not perform additional work for an audited body (ie work over and above the minimum required to meet their statutory responsibilities) if it would compromise their independence or might give rise to a reasonable perception that their independence could be compromised. Where the audited body invites the auditor to carry out risk-based work in a particular area that cannot otherwise be justified as necessary to support the auditor's opinion and conclusions, it should be clearly differentiated within the Audit and Inspection Plan as being 'additional work' and charged for separately from the normal audit fee.
 - Auditors should not accept engagements that involve commenting on the performance of other auditors appointed by the Commission on Commission work without first consulting the Commission.
 - The District Auditor responsible for the audit should, in all but the most exceptional circumstances, be changed at least once every five years.
 - The District Auditor and senior members of the audit team are prevented from taking part in political activity on behalf of a political party, or special interest group, whose activities relate directly to the functions of local government or NHS bodies in general, or to a particular local government or NHS body.
- The District Auditor and members of the audit team must abide by the Commission's policy on gifts, hospitality and entertainment.

Appendix 3 – Working together

Meetings

- The audit team will maintain knowledge of your issues to inform our risk-based audit through regular liaison with key officers.
- Our proposal for the meetings is as follows.

Table 6 **Proposed meetings with officers/members**

Council officers	Audit Commission staff	Timing	Purpose
Borough Treasurer and members of the senior management team	DA and AM	Bi-monthly meetings	 General update plus: March – audit plan; July – accounts progress; and September – annual governance report.
Chief Officer Financial Services	AM and Team Leader	As required within the framework of the bi-monthly meeting schedule agreed above	Update on audit issues
Governance and Audit Committee	DA and AM, with TL as appropriate	Quarterly as determined by the Committee	Reporting of: • Audit plan; • Annual governance report; and • Other issues as appropriate.

Sustainability

- The Audit Commission is committed to promoting sustainability in our working practices and we will actively consider opportunities to reduce our impact on the environment. This will include:
 - reducing paper flow by encouraging you to submit documentation and working papers electronically;
 - use of video and telephone conferencing for meetings as appropriate; and
 - reducing travel.

The Audit Commission

The Audit Commission is an independent watchdog, driving economy, efficiency and effectiveness in local public services to deliver better outcomes for everyone.

Our work across local government, health, housing, community safety and fire and rescue services means that we have a unique perspective. We promote value for money for taxpayers, covering the £180 billion spent by 11,000 local public bodies.

As a force for improvement, we work in partnership to assess local public services and make practical recommendations for promoting a better quality of life for local people.

Copies of this report

If you require further copies of this report, or a copy in large print, in Braille, on tape, or in a language other than English, please call 0844 798 7070.

© Audit Commission 2010

For further information on the work of the Commission please contact:

Audit Commission, 1st Floor, Millbank Tower, Millbank, London SW1P 4HQ

Tel: 020 7828 1212 Fax: 020 7976 6187 Textphone (minicom): 020 7630 0421

www.audit-commission.gov.uk